

Written record of an officer decision: Non-Mayoral Function or Mayoral General Function

Please forward this form to **Governance Services** at decisions@westyorks-ca.gov.uk, when completed, as soon as possible, but at the latest:

- for **Key Decisions** which may be called-in, **to be received by midday on the second working day after the decision is made**, and
- for any **other decision**, **within 5 working days**.

Publish promptly - **you cannot implement any Key Decision open for call-in until midday on the 6TH day after it has been published as a written record of officer decision.**

Decision-maker <i>(post title of the officer with authority to take the decision)</i>	Chief Executive
Title <i>(For a Key Decision, this should be the same as the subject or title provided on the Key Decision Notice)</i>	Project Approval – TCF Tadcaster Road
Is this a decision about a Mayoral General Function or Non-Mayoral function?	<input type="checkbox"/> Mayoral General Function <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Mayoral Function
Date Decision Taken	13 September 2022
Reasons for the decision	The reasons for the decision are as set out in a published report to the Combined Authority meeting of 9 September (Agenda Item 9), which was cancelled, following the sad announcement of the passing of Her Majesty the Queen, as a mark of respect to Her Majesty and the Royal Family and in acknowledgement of the period of national mourning.
Details of the decision <i>(Including any consultation carried out)</i>	That subject to the conditions set by the Programme Appraisal Team, the Chief Executive approves that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The TCF Tadcaster Road scheme proceeds through decision point 2 to 4 (business justification) and work commences on activity 5 (delivery). (ii) Approval to the Combined Authority's contribution of £1,356,000, taking the total scheme approval to £1,420,000. The total scheme value is £1,420,000. (iii) The Combined Authority enters into an addendum to the existing Funding Agreement with City of York Council for expenditure of up to £1,420,000. (iv) Future approvals are made in accordance with the assurance pathway and approval route outlined in the report attached to this decision. This will be subject to the scheme remaining within the tolerances outlined in the attached report

	<p><u>Conditions</u> The following conditions have been set by PAT to gain Approval to Proceed to Delivery:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The final agreed tender cost of the scheme must be confirmed to come within the available funding, and a detailed cost breakdown and cost plan from the contractor provided as evidence. (ii) If a funding gap exists which cannot be bridged by either additional funding from CYC or value engineering, the scheme will be brought back to PAT for approval of any changes to the current design. (iii) The objectives are updated to ensure that they are all SMART, the specific outputs and benefits are confirmed, and a Monitoring and Evaluation Plan is provided." 		
Any alternative option(s) considered and rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If yes, provide details: See the CA report appended	
Is this a Key Decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If yes, date notice of the Key Decision was published / whether an exception was relied on:	
Is the decision eligible for call-in by Scrutiny?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If yes, start of call-in period (date of publication)	
		If yes, end of call-in period:	
If the decision is not eligible for call-in by Scrutiny but is a Key Decision, state why the decision is urgent			
Appendices attached to this written record (List and identify any which contain confidential or exempt information.)		Proposed Agenda Item 9 to the cancelled Combined Authority meeting of 9 September 2022	
Background documents to be published with the written record		N/A	
Name of any Member who declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision	N/A		
Contact Officer (For members of the public)	Name: Post-title: Telephone number: E-mail:		
Authority for Decision			
The decision-maker was authorised to make this decision			

by:

- the **Combined Authority or a committee resolution**, OR
- the **Officer Delegation Scheme**, OR
- an **Officer Sub-Delegation Scheme**, OR
- delegation from the **Mayor**,
(not within the Officer Delegation Scheme)

☐ Yes ☐ No (If yes, specify)

☒ Yes ☐ No (If yes, specify)

☐ Yes ☐ No (If yes, specify)

☐ Yes ☐ No (If yes, specify)